

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/868.601

Applicant(s)

PEARS ET AL.

Examiner

Callie E. Shosho

Art Unit

1714

All Participants:

(1) *Callie E. Shosho.*

(2) Richard Steinberg.

Date of Interview: 29 October 2003

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____

(4) _____.

Time:

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,3,4

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Steinberg in order to ensure that all the independent claims recite the same claim language and to define what was meant by the abbreviation "Mn".

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability (see Part II above).
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)